

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/596,066 | 04/12/2007 | Geoffrey Brent | 20996-002US1 12528200/200 | 1430 |
| 26161 FISH & RICH | 7590 02/03/201 ARDSON PC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 102 | 22 | KLEIN, GABRIEL J | | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3641 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/03/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596,066 BRENT ET AL. Interview Summary

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GABRIEL J. KLEIN. (2) Rex I. Huang. Date of Interview: 1/26/10 & 1/29/10. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) yes if Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/05/TOT A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blastinole procedes the stand up blast in said selected blastinole. Dut requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant slated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, an ammany thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY's FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| (1) GABRIEL J. KLEIN. (2) Rex I. Huang. Date of Interview: 1/26/10 & 1/29/10. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/05/T07 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading 'therein the throw blast in any selected blasthole proceeds the stand up blast in said selected blasthole "to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/T07 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, as unmany thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN a NON-EXTENDABLE PERCIDO OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | GABRIEL J. KLEIN | 3641 | |
| Date of Interview: 1/26/10 & 1/29/10. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference collection of personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2 □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes if Yes, brief description: □ . Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/057707 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/057707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's mendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not reducested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| Date of Interview: 1/26/10 & 1/29/10. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/057707 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/057707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's mendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | (1) <u>GABRIEL J. KLEIN</u> . | (3) | | |
| Type: a) Telephonic b Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/057707 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/057707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN a NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | (2) <u>Rex I. Huang</u> . | (4) | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) | Date of Interview: <u>1/26/10 & 1/29/10</u> . | | | |
| If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/057707.A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/707.A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not reducested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2) applicant's representative | e] | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/05/707 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, austmany thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | e) No. | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/707 A1 reference. Applicant sqreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's mendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A DNN-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | Identification of prior art discussed: WO 02/057707 A1. | | | |
| reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed the addition of a limitation reading "wherein the throw blast in any selected blasthole precedes the stand up blast in said selected blasthole" to claim 1 in order to overcome the WO 02/05/707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this language would be satisfactory, but requested that no examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant stated that an allowance at this point was not desirable, and requested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | |
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641 | reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed in any selected helastine proceeds the stand up blast in as WO 02/057707 A1 reference. Applicant agreed that this la examiner's amendment be made at this time. Applicant sterequested time to consider the claims further. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The Comment of t | the addition of a limitation read id selected blasthole" to lid selected blasthole to lid id selected blasthole to lid in the selection of the selection of the selection ments which the examiner ag opy of the amendments that w id.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT! ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | ding "wherein the 1 in order to ove but requested it boolnt was not de: reed would rend vould render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP V DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS | e throw blast ercome the hat no sirable, and er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |

| /J. Woodrow Eldred/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | 14 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) | Interview Summary | Paper No. 2010013 |

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-of-Jace, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application where or not an agreement with the examiner was rescaled at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is uncessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office is uncessary in the office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promises, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant of the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 612.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record Equired.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal Interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be mailed ormountly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
 - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.